



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,630	04/02/2004	Robert E. Cypher	5181-98801	2867
35690	7590	10/06/2006	EXAMINER	
MEYERTONS, HOOD, KIVLIN, KOWERT & GOETZEL, P.C.			DOAN, DUC T	
700 LAVACA, SUITE 800			ART UNIT	
AUSTIN, TX 78701			PAPER NUMBER	
			2188	

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/817,630

Applicant(s)

CYPHER ET AL.

Examiner

Duc T. Doan

Art Unit

2188

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 August 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 7-10, 12, 13 and 15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 6, 11, 14, 16 and 17 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/28/06</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Status of Claims***

Claims 1-17 have been presented for examination in this application. In response to the last office action, none of claims have been amended. As the result, claims 1-17 are now pending in this application.

The applicant's remarks were fully considered with the results that follow, Examiner withdraws previous rejections and applying new rejections with new reference(s) found.

All rejections and objections not explicitly repeated below are withdrawn.

Claims 1-5,7-10,12-13,15 are rejected.

Claims 6,11,14,16-17 are objected to.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by other's in this country or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1,9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Chi et al (US 5940870).

As in claim 1, Chi discloses a system, comprising: a plurality of nodes, wherein each node comprises an active device and a memory subsystem coupled to the active device (Chi's Fig 4 show a node having memory subsystem #42-44, #42 processors); wherein an active device included in one of the plurality of nodes includes a memory management unit configured to receive a virtual address generated within that active device and to responsively output a global address identifying a coherency unit, wherein a portion of the global address identifying a translation function (Chi's Fig 4: #42 processor; #54 cluster cache and directory; Fig 8: a memory management unit received virtual address generated from/within the processor the cluster, translates to a global address on interconnect; a portion of global address #110 identify the translation function; a portion of global address identifying a coherency unit (memories in the node), with Fig 8: 114 node ID, #110 partition ID),

wherein the memory subsystem included in the node is configured to perform the translation function identified by the portion of the global address on an additional portion of the global address in order to obtain a local physical address of the coherency unit (Chi's Fig 7, column 6 lines 21-35 discloses the processor at the destination node is configured to perform the translation function identified by Fig 8: #110 logical partition (corresponding to the claim's

“portion of the global address on an additional portion of the global address”) in order to obtain a local physical address of the coherency unit (t Fig 7, column 1-10, discloses translation of physical to global memory space when sending the packet, and translation from global memory space to local space when a packet is received at the destination node),

wherein each active device included in the node is configured to use the portion of the global address identifying the translation function when determining whether a local copy of the coherency unit is currently stored in a cache associated with that active device (Chi's Fig 7, column 1-10 discloses each processor determine if data is in its memory “local space”).

Claim 9 rejected based on the same rationale as of claim 1.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-5,7,10,12-13,15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chi et al (US 5940870) as applied to claims 1,9.

As in claims 2-3, the claim recites wherein at least one bit included in the global address indicates whether the coherency unit identified by the global address is replicable in more than

one of the plurality of nodes (claim 2); wherein if the at least one bit included in a different global address indicates that the different global address is not replicable in more than one of the plurality of nodes, the portion of the different global address includes additional address bits instead of identifying a translation function (claim 3). Chi's Fig 8, column 27-47 discloses the bits of logical partition number #110 and ATM index bits are used to identify the "global memory space", which is duplicated and shared in multiple nodes, and partitions within a node.

As in claim 4, the claim recites wherein the additional portion of the global address for the coherency unit generated by each active device in the plurality of nodes has a same value, and wherein active devices in different nodes of the plurality of nodes generate different values of the portion of the global address identifying the translation function. Chi's column 5 lines 40-50 discloses the partition number #110 is the logical node ID for applications running in the processors, these logical partitions in nodes can have the same values, for example for private and "near global memory", they have the same partition number zero (see column 6 lines 20-36).

As in claim 5, the claim recites wherein a home memory subsystem included in a home node of the plurality of nodes for the coherency unit is configured to store the portion of the global address identifying the translation function for the node, wherein active devices included in the home node are configured to generate a different value of the portion of the global address, wherein the different value identifies a different translation function associated with the coherency unit in the home node. Chi's column 5 lines 1-10 clearly teaches that if the requesting processor determines that the address of the request is in the same local space, there is no need to translate the address to global space, since the requesting processor and the target processor (i.e. home of data being provided to the requesting processor) both being in the same node.

As in claim 7, the claim recites wherein the active device included in the node is configured to output the global address in an address packet on an address network coupling the active device to an additional active device within the node in order to initiate a coherency transaction for a coherency unit identified by the global address (Chi's Fig 7, column 7 lines 1-10 discloses the source processor sends address packet to the destination processor within the cluster node, in "near memory space").

Claim 10 rejected based on the same rationale as in the rejection of claim 2.

Claim 12 rejected based on the same rationale as in the rejection of claim 4.

Claim 13 rejected based on the same rationale as in the rejection of claim 5.

Claim 15 rejected based on the same rationale as in the rejection of claim 7.

Claim 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chi et al (US 5940870) as applied to claim 1 and in view of Arimili et al (US 2002/0112124).

As in claim 8, the claim recites wherein a memory controller included in the memory subsystem is integrated in a same integrated circuit as the active device. Chi does not describe the claim's aspect of integrated memory controller. However, Arimilli's paragraph 6 discloses a multiple processors system with integrated memory controller circuit. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include integrated memory controller circuit as suggested by Arimilli in Chi's system to allow fast communication between the processor and the memory controller since they are located in the same chip (Arimilli's page 1 paragraph 6).

*Allowable Subject Matter*

Claims 6,11,14,16-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.


*Conclusion*

When responding to the office action, Applicant is advised to provide the examiner with the line numbers and page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc T. Doan whose telephone number is 571-272-4171. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 AM 05:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-4210. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
9/30/26  
MANO PADMANABHAN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER



Application/Control Number: 10/817,630

Page 8

Art Unit: 2188

DD

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'JP' or similar, located below the 'DD' text.

Mano Padmanabhan

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2188